Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/781,316	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Figueroa	1712
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>John J. Figueroa</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard S. Barth.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 March 2006	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	·	
1-24 .		
Prior art documents discussed:	·.	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner informed Applicant's representative of a restriction requirement of claims 1-24 into three groups. Applicant requested Examiner to prepare and mail a written restriction.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
John J. Deguena		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)